**Survey Feedback Report**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Surgeons</th>
<th>Medical Students</th>
<th>Resident/Intern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Surgeons</strong></td>
<td>35.7%</td>
<td>14.3%</td>
<td>21.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nurses</strong></td>
<td>7.14%</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
<td>7.14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Feedback was provided by 14 respondents (47%) out of 30 health professionals who attended.

*HMOs – Hospital Medical Officers, IMGs – International Medical Graduates

**Responses**

*Note: Scale: 1 = strongly disagree, 2 = disagree, 3 = neutral, 4 = agree and 5 = strongly agree.*

1. **The Program**
   - 1.1 The program was relevant to my role. 14 100% 4.1
   - 1.2 The program outcomes were explained. 14 100% 4.4
   - 1.3 The pace was adequate to meet my needs. 14 100% 4.4
   - 1.4 The seminar material was useful during the program. 14 100% 4.4

2. **The Environment**
   - 2.1 Upon arrival I was made to feel welcome. 14 100% 4.5
   - 2.2 The setup of the room and venue was suitable. 14 100% 4.3
   - 2.3 The facilities were clean and well presented. 14 100% 4.5

3. **The Facilitator**
   - 3.1 The facilitators’ presentations were well paced and suited to the group. 14 100% 4.5
   - 3.2 The facilitators’ encouraged participation and discussions. 14 100% 4.6

4. **The Seminar**
   - 4.1 The ‘Peer review assessment’ - presentation was well paced and suited to the group. 14 100% 4.4
   - 4.2 ‘Human factors and system safety in the surgical arena’ presentation was well paced and suited to the group. 14 100% 4.4
   - 4.3 ‘Legal implications of the VASM peer review process’ was well paced and suited to the group. 13 93% 4.1
   - 4.4 ‘Practical assessment of VASM cases’ was well paced and suited to the group. 14 100% 4.4
   - 4.5 The panel discussion was well paced and suited to the group. 12 86% 4.3

5. **Program Results**
   - 5.1 I have gained valuable knowledge and skills from this program. 14 100% 4.5
   - 5.2 I can apply the skills and knowledge from this program in my role. 14 100% 4.4

**Written Comments**

1. Engaging session on what goes on after the unit audit is over, very helpful for junior staff + opportunity to discuss clinical decision making with senior staff in a more detached and open environment. Recommend.
2. Really helpful.
3. The parallel session is really interesting in seeing opinions of different seniors.
4. A platform to share and learn from mistakes.
5. Miranda’s presentation was a stand-out – we should all know more about human factors and how to apply that methodology. Agree with devising new edition of the FLA/SLA guidelines.