Would you have changed the management of this patient’s course to death?
2.45pm – 4.50pm, 11 August 2016
Launceston General Hospital, Tasmania

The seminar focused on the management of patient’s course to death. The seminar was jointly presented by the Tasmanian Audit of Surgical Mortality and Victorian Audit of Surgical Mortality. The evaluation survey results show the following data below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Respondents</th>
<th>Surgeon</th>
<th>Medical Student</th>
<th>Physician</th>
<th>Research Health Professional</th>
<th>Nurse</th>
<th>HMO</th>
<th>Department of Health/Government Representative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Scale: 1=strongly disagree, 2=disagree, 3=neutral, 4=agree and 5=strongly agree.
In total, 3 respondents did not specify professional status.

There were 40 (48%) out of 84 registrants provided feedback.

1. The Program

   1.1 The program was relevant to my role and organisation. 40 (100%) 4.3
   1.2 The length and sequence of the program was appropriate. 39 (98%) 4.4
   1.3 The program material was useful during the seminar. 40 (100%) 4.4

2. The Environment

   2.1 Upon arrival I was made to feel welcome. 40 (100%) 4.5
   2.2 The setup of the room and venue was suitable for this seminar. 40 (100%) 4.4

3. Seminar Sessions

   3.1 ‘General Surgery, delays can they be eliminated?’ was well paced and suited to the group. 40 (100%) 4.3
   3.2 ‘Orthopaedic Surgery, can we do better’ was well paced and suited to the group. 40 (100%) 4.6
   3.3 ‘Urology Surgery, can we change the outcome’ was well paced and suited to the group. 40 (100%) 4.3

4. Comment themes

   Examples of feedback from respondents

   The seminar was educational and valuable.
   “Good cases, excellent discussion. Wish more students and registrants were able to attend”.
   “Absolutely loved that it was applicable to clinicians at all levels. Please do more like this!”

   The facilitators were knowledgeable.
   “Speakers are excellent, good involvement of the audience!”
   “Every speaker was well presented material. All had relevant points from different perspectives. A great leaning experience”.

   The program and material were of value.
   “Great program with good speakers and useful discussion”.
   “Excellent program, discussion of interface between privilege and open disclosure would be useful”.

   Future topics recommended.
   “A longer seminar with more opportunity for feedback and discussion could be better”.
   “I was thinking there’d be a component that would discuss the aggressive therapies used for patients who are severely ill with multiple co-morbidities and very old”

   The overall impression on environment.
   “The room is suitable; the foyer was occupied by another group meeting and is inappropriate for a meeting of this size”.